

### REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action of June 16, 2005, which indicates that while applicant elected Group II and Species I, and claims 1-23, 28-35, 40-50, and 60-91, the listed claims do not read on the elected Species I. The Office Action cited several examples and requires that the Applicant examine each claim to determine which if the apparatus claims read on the tee shown in Figs. 1-12.

Applicant has reviewed each previously presented claim and resubmits the elected claims of Group II, claims 1-23, 28-35, 40-50, and 60-91, that read on the tee shown in Figs. 1-12 of Species I. As a result, Applicant has withdrawn claims 63-68 and 80-91 pursuant to this Supplemental B Response to Restriction Requirement and Amendment A.

Claims 6, 7, 10, 13, 21, 28, 60, 72, and 73 have been amended to correct minor grammatical and/or typographical errors in the claims.

Applicant presents claims 1-28, 28-35, 40-50, 60-62, and 69-79 drawn to elected Group II and elected Species I for further consideration at this time.

Additionally, various amendments to paragraphs in the specification are presented with this Amendment to correct minor typographical errors in the specification

### CONCLUSION


It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Restriction Requirement. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (314) 726-7500.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

7/14/05

Respectfully submitted,

By: \_\_\_\_\_



David L. Howard  
Registration No. 41,502

HARNES, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
7700 Bonhomme, Suite 400  
St. Louis, Missouri 63105  
314-726-7500